To: Kumar, Ashij (EC)[ashij.kumar@canada.ca]; Barnes, Edlynzia[Barnes.Edlynzia@epa.gov];

Schardt, James[schardt.james@epa.gov]

From: Schardt, James

**Sent:** Fri 9/9/2016 8:53:55 PM

Subject: RE: PRP sent to graphics contractors?

PRP MASTER - Sep 9 reconciled draft - js attempts to clean up.docx

Ash, I responded to your comments. I think with your quick review/tweaking this document can be very clean for Carla's review.

We've provided an earlier version to the contractor. I think it makes sense to wait a bit longer before providing them for full mock-up.

My understanding is Cam will be very busy next week with other issues and plans to give us his edits on Monday (He is using the "U.S. edits" document as the base document for his edits. I think that's pretty close to this one, so we should be able to reconcile pretty easily). I'll let you know as soon as I hear more.

Have a great weekend!

From: Kumar, Ashij (EC) [mailto:ashij.kumar@canada.ca]

Sent: Friday, September 09, 2016 1:54 PM

**To:** Schardt, James <schardt.james@epa.gov>; Barnes, Edlynzia <Barnes.Edlynzia@epa.gov>

**Subject:** PRP sent to graphics contractors?

Question: has an earlier PRP draft been sent to the graphical contractors so they can start planning the layout/production? If we're waiting for this new reconciled version think this draft needs to be finalized asap.

From: Kumar, Ashij (EC)

Sent: September 9, 2016 12:04 PM

To: 'Schardt, James'; 'Barnes, Edlynzia'

Subject: Reconciled PRP

A4 reconciled into this version and spelled out some acronyms in the US domestic section of this chapter. Couple things flagged for you in blue highlight that need your response on in A4 (and A3 as indicated below).

Keep finding more consistency type things throughout but I'm getting sick of this report now so didn't really make changes and anything new will need further re-translation which will eat up more time.

Again if we can sort out all the remaining comment bubbles amongst ourselves I'd rather give a clean copy to Carla and flag just the new language for ECCC review which I think seems all ok.

From: Kumar, Ashij (EC)

**Sent:** September 9, 2016 9:42 AM **To:** 'Schardt, James'; Barnes, Edlynzia

Subject: RE: Compilation of U.S. edits to PRP

OK I think I'm done most reconciling (except A4). And I know I said I'd check with Carla on some issues but think it's better if we sort out the unclear text ourselves quickly then send a complete reconciled draft to Carla-Jamie/Chris > Mike-Cam.

I pretty much accepted all edits everywhere but A3 (several things needed to be sorted out) – can you look at this A3 chapter and tell me you're ok with it / provide new language? Think I marked up everything I didn't incorporate completely. In mean time I'll work on A4.

From: Schardt, James [mailto:schardt.james@epa.gov]

**Sent:** September 6, 2016 10:33 AM

To: Kumar, Ashij (EC); Torchia, Carla (EC)

Cc: Barnes, Edlynzia; Schardt, James; Anscombe, Frank

Subject: FW: Compilation of U.S. edits to PRP

Carla and Ash,

Here's our edits from the Annex co-leads and federal agency review.

Once we have a consolidated version, we'll run it by Cam/Chris for final review/edits. Chris will probably be here on the Great Lakes program for a while longer, still unclear when he and Tinka will transition into their new roles.

-j

From: Schardt, James

Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2016 3:41 PM

To: Davis, Cameron < <u>Davis.Cameron@epa.gov</u>>; Korleski, Christopher

<korleski.christopher@epa.gov>

Cc: Barnes, Edlynzia < Barnes. Edlynzia@epa.gov >; Schardt, James < schardt.james@epa.gov >

Subject: Compilation of U.S. edits to PRP -- okay to flip to Canada?

James Schardt

U.S. EPA - Great Lakes National Program Office

77 W. Jackson Blvd. (Mailcode: G-17J)

Chicago, IL 60604

www.epa.gov/greatlakes

phone: 312-353-5085

email: schardt.james@epa.gov